Study X-100 August 7, 2020

First Supplement to Memorandum 2020-34

Emergency-Related Reforms: Commission Authority

In Memorandum 2020-34, the staff presented Commissioner suggestions for possible new emergency-related topics, that the Commission¹ might wish to request authority to study.

To aid the Commission in evaluating those topics, the staff reviewed the COVID-related legislation that is currently pending before the Legislature. The staff did so by searching for any bill that uses the term "COVID" in its text or in the Legislative Counsel's digest. There are currently 125 such bills.

Those bills were then reviewed to determine whether they (1) address any of the topics noted in Memorandum 2020-34 and (2) remain viable.

Only two of the Commissioner-suggested topics are addressed by the pending COVID-related legislation:

- Government liability
- Employment matters

For each of those topics, the staff prepared a table that lists the pending bills, briefly describes their effects, and lists the current support and opposition (attached). The lists of support and opposition were drawn from the most recent legislative analyses (if any). Some bills do not have analyses because the COVID-related material was added through a recent amendment and the bills have not yet been heard in their amended forms.

^{1.} Any California Law Revision Commission document referred to in this memorandum can be obtained from the Commission. Recent materials can be downloaded from the Commission's website (www.clrc.ca.gov). Other materials can be obtained by contacting the Commission's staff, through the website or otherwise.

The Commission welcomes written comments at any time during its study process. Any comments received will be a part of the public record and may be considered at a public meeting. However, comments that are received less than five business days prior to a Commission meeting may be presented without staff analysis.

DISCUSSION

Open Meeting Law

The staff found no pending COVID-related legislation that would affect the state and local government open meeting statutes. As a double-check, the staff searched for any pending bills that would affect the specific provisions on teleconferencing in public meetings.² There were no such bills.

Government Liability

Assembly Bill 1384 (O'Donnell) and Assembly Bill 1759 (Salas) would limit the liability of schools and post-secondary institutions for COVID-19 related harms. The first bill, which affects local schools, would condition the immunity on the schools adopting public safety standards based on state guidance and making reasonable efforts to implement them.

Those bills were amended too recently for there to be any legislative analysis that would list support and opposition. The staff's intuition is that these will be controversial bills, as they pit the financial interests of the affected educational entities against the claims of those who are injured by the negligence of those entities. The potential claimants could include students and teachers. The politics in this area may be heightened by divided public opinion on issues of school safety and reopening.

Senate Bill 1473 (Committee on Governance and Finance) would authorize the use of electronic communications when filing a claim under the Government Claims Act. The apparent purpose is to minimize in-person contact. This bill has not yet been analyzed in its current form. It seems uncontroversial.

Employment Matters

There are several pending COVID-related bills that address employment matters. They address five main areas:

- Employee leave and disability
- Workers' compensation insurance
- Safety measures
- Liability
- Rehire preference for laid off employees

The bills affecting those matters are discussed below.

^{2.} Gov't Code §§ 11123 (Bagley-Keene Act), 54953 (Brown Act).

Employee Leave

Senate Bill 729 (Portantino) would establish a food service worker's right to up to 80 hours of COVID-19 supplemental paid sick leave. The leave could be used if the worker is unable to work for any of the following reasons:

- (A) The food sector worker is subject to a federal, state, or local quarantine or isolation order related to COVID-19.
- (B) The food sector worker is advised by a health care provider to self-quarantine or self-isolate due to concerns related to COVID-19.
- (C) The food sector worker is prohibited from working by the food sector worker's hiring entity due to health concerns related to the potential transmission of COVID-19.

Assembly Bill 3216 (Kalra) would have created extensive new leave and disability rights for employees affected by the pandemic. However, that bill was amended on August 5, 2020, to remove all of the leave and disability related material.³ Although the bill would no longer make those changes, they are summarized below to illustrate the range of issues that the Legislature has been considering:

- Employee would have been entitled to 12 weeks of family leave for qualifying exigency related to the public health emergency, school or childcare closure due to emergency, or absence of caregiver due to emergency.
- Employee would have had a right to reinstatement in same or comparable position after end of family leave.
- Employee would have been entitled to 24 hours paid "emergency leave" for public health emergency.
- Employee would have been entitled to use sick leave if subject to a
 federal, state, or local public health order related to a public health
 emergency, to care for a family member subject to an order,
 including if the child or family member's school or place of care
 has been closed, and in specified other circumstances related to a
 state of emergency.
- Employee would have been allowed to self-certify as to emergency-related disability when applying for benefits; doctor certification would not have been required.⁴

^{3.} Assembly Bill 3216 would still add a rule on preferential rehiring of laid-off employees. It is discussed below in connection with that content.

^{4.} See AB 3216 (Kalra) (as amended July 16, 2020).

Workers' Compensation Insurance

Workers' compensation insurance provides medical benefits and wage replacement for a disability to employees who are injured on the job. There are three bills that would address the extent to which a COVID-19 infection is a workplace injury for the purposes of workers' compensation insurance.

Assembly Bill 196 (Gonzalez) would create a *conclusive* presumption, for certain specified "essential workers," that a COVID-19 infection arising during or within 90 days after that employment is a workplace injury governed by workers' compensation.

Assembly Bill 664 (Cooper, Bonta, Gonzalez) (with 30 coauthors from both the Assembly and Senate) would make the following changes for certain firefighters, policer officers, and hospital employees:

- Define "injury" to include being diagnosed with a communicable disease that is the subject of a public health emergency declared after January 1, 2020.
- Provide that the compensation for such an injury includes full hospital, surgical, medical treatment, disability indemnity, and death benefits.
- Make an existing rule, requiring causation-based apportionment of liability for a permanent disability, inapplicable to such an injury.
- Create a rebuttable presumption that the injury arose out of employment.
- Provide paid leave to an employee who is quarantined due to an exposure to such a disease. The employee could not be required to use other accrued leave for that purpose.

Senate Bill 1159 (Hill) would only apply to an illness that arose, while employed or shortly thereafter, between March 19, 2020, and July 5, 2020. Such an illness would be defined as an injury. There would be a rebuttable presumption that the illness was a workplace injury. The employee would be required to exhaust other leave before receiving benefits for a temporary disability related to the injury.

Safety Measures

Assembly Bill 664 (Cooper, Bonta, Gonzalez) would require employers to provide or reimburse employees for personal protective equipment.

Assembly Bill 685 (Reyes) would require employers to notify their employees and specified public health agencies when the employer knows or

reasonably should have known of an employee's COVID-19 exposure. A violation would be a misdemeanor. The public health agencies would publish the information on their websites.

Assembly Bill 2043 (Rivas, Garcia, and Gonzalez) would require the Division of Labor Standards Enforcement (DLSE) to conduct a public information outreach campaign aimed at educating agricultural workers about COVID-19 and their employment rights related to the pandemic. DLSE would also be required to publish information about its efforts on the Internet. This bill has widespread support and no reported opposition.

Assembly Bill 2537 (Rodriguez) would require employers to provide personal protective equipment to certain healthcare workers.

In addition to the reforms related to paid sick leave for food service workers (discussed above), **Senate Bill 729 (Portantino)** would require employers to permit food service workers to wash their hands every 30 minutes (or more frequently as needed).

Liability

Assembly Bill 1035 (Ramos) would exempt a small business with 25 or fewer employees from liability for an injury or illness to a person due to a COVID-19 infection that is contracted at that small business or due to the actions of the small business. This immunity would only apply if the small business is in compliance with all applicable public health requirements. It would not apply to grossly negligent, willful, or wanton misconduct, or to illegal discrimination.

Rehire Preference for Laid Off Employees

In its current form, **Assembly Bill 3216 (Kalra)** would require an employer to offer its laid off employees specified information about job positions that become available for which the laid off employees are qualified, and to offer positions to those laid off employees based on a preference system.

CONCLUSION

With respect to the topics that were suggested by the Commission for possible study, most of the Legislature's attention has been focused on employment matters. The pending legislation in that area addresses the most obvious problems arising from the pandemic: leave for employees who cannot work because of infection or the need to care for dependents, wage replacement

and medical benefits for those who are infected (through the existing workers' compensation system), rehiring of laid-off employees, workplace safety, and employer liability. Some of the proposals would impose significant costs on employers and are opposed by employer groups.

All of that suggests two things to the staff:

- (1) The Legislature is focused on addressing employment-related COVID-19 issues. It seems likely that focus will continue in 2021.
- (2) Those issues involve fundamentally political questions (e.g., who should bear the cost of wage replacement and health benefits for those affected by COVID-19 infection).

That does not prevent the Commission from requesting authority to weigh in on such matters. But it does suggest that the Commission's resources might be put to more effective and beneficial use elsewhere. Employment issues are already receiving considerable legislative attention, with input from experts and affected stakeholders. It is not clear what the Commission would add to that process. It is also true that the Commission has had a poor track record when working on fundamentally political topics, where the outcome requires a choice between competing interests, rather than achieving consensus.

The Commission now needs to decide whether it will request any additional study authority on emergency-related reforms. If so, how should the request be framed?

One possibility was discussed at the July meeting; the Commission could cherry-pick specific topic areas and request authority for those selected topics. If the Commission takes that approach, it needs to decide which specific topics to request.

The staff sees another possibility worth mentioning. The Commission could request a more general grant of authority, coupled with a mechanism for legislative feedback, along the following lines:

The Law Revision Commission is authorized to study and recommend statutory reforms to provide special rules that would apply only in a period of declared emergency or its immediate aftermath. Before beginning a study under this authority, the Commission would provide notice to any legislative policy committee with jurisdiction over the proposed study topic and would consider any formal or informal feedback received from committee members or staff.

Such a provision would combine maximum flexibility as to topics with a mechanism that the Legislature could use to alert the Commission if it has concerns about a particular topic.

How would the Commission like to proceed?

Respectfully submitted,

Brian Hebert Executive Director

Table 1. Government Liability

Bill (Author)	Purpose	Support	Opposition
AB 1384 (O'Donnell)	Local educational agencies	Bill not yet analyzed in present form.	Bill not yet analyzed in present form.
	would be required to adopt		
	health and safety policies and		
	procedures consistent with		
	COVID-19 guidance from		
	State Department of Public		
	Health.		
	Local educational agency		
	that makes reasonable efforts		
	to comply with those policies		
	would be exempt from		
	liability for COVID-19 related		
	harms.		
AB 1759 (Salas)	Institutions of higher	Bill not yet analyzed in present form.	Bill not yet analyzed in present form.
	education would be broadly		
	immunized from liability for		
	COVID-19-related harms.		
SB 1473 (Committee on	Would authorize the use of	Bill not yet analyzed in present form.	Bill not yet analyzed in present form.
Governance and Finance)	electronic delivery for notices		
	under the Government Claims		
	Act.		

Table 2. Employment Matters

Bill (Author)	Purpose	Support	Opposition
AB 196 (Gonzalez)	Conclusive presumption that COVID infection acquired during period of employment is workplace injury for purposes of workers compensation insurance.	Bill not yet analyzed in present form.	Bill not yet analyzed in present form.
AB 664 (Cooper)	Rebuttable presumption that infection by communicable disease that is subject of declared public health emergency is workplace injury for purposes of workers compensation insurance. Require provision of PPE to employees.	Bill not yet analyzed in present form.	Bill not yet analyzed in present form.
AB 685 (Reyes)	Employer duty to notify employees and specified public health agencies of COVID exposure. Failure to give notice is misdemeanor. State to publish notices on Internet.	California Labor Federation AFL-CIO Western States Council United Food and Commercial Workers Asian Americans Advancing Justice-California California Nurses Association California Professional Firefighters California Rural Legal Assistance Foundation California State Council of SEIU Californians for Pesticide Reform Community Alliance with Family Farmers Environmental Working Group WORKSAFE	Acclamation Insurance Management Services Advanced Medical Technology Association African American Farmers of California Airlines for America Allied Managed Care American Council of Engineering Companies, California American Pistachio Growers Association of California Healthcare Districts Building Owners and Managers Association California Agricultural Aircraft Association California Apple Commission California Association of Health Facilities California Association of Joint Powers Authorities California Association of Sheet Metal & Air Conditioning Contractors National Association California Association of Winegrape Growers California Attractions and Parks Association California Bankers Association California Blueberry Association California Blueberry Commission California Building Industry Association

Bill (Author)	Purpose	Support	Opposition
			California Business Properties Association
			California Business Roundtable
			California Cable & Telecommunications Association
			California Chamber of Commerce
			California Citrus Mutual
			California Construction and Industrial Materials Association
			California Cotton Ginners & Growers Association
			California Dental Association
1			California Farm Bureau Federation
			California Fresh Fruit Association
			California Grocers Association
			California Hospital Association
1			California Hotel & Lodging Association
			California Landscape Contractors Association
			California League of Food Producers
			California Life Sciences Association
			California Lodging Industry Association
			California Manufacturers & Technology Association
			California Pool & Spa Association
			California Professional Association of Specialty Contractors
			California Restaurant Association
			California Retailers Association
			California Rice Commission
			California Special Districts Association
			California State Association of Counties
			California Travel Association
			Carlsbad Chamber of Commerce
			Civil Justice Association of California
			CSAC Excess Insurance Authority
			Family Business Association of California
			Family Winemakers of California
			Far West Equipment Dealers Association
			Healthcare Distribution Alliance
			Independent Lodging Industry Association
			International Council of Shopping Centers
			League of California Cities
1			NAIOP of California
			Commercial Real Estate Development Association
			National Federation of Independent Business
			Nisei Farmers League

Bill (Author)	Purpose	Support	Opposition
			Official Police Garages of Los Angeles
			Olive Growers Council of California
			Orange County Business Council
			Plumbing Heating Cooling Contractors of California
			Plumbing-heating-cooling Contractors Association of
			California
			Public Risk Innovation, Solutions, and Management (PRISM)
			Rural County Representatives of California
			Simi Valley Chamber of Commerce
			Southwest California Legislative Council
			Technet
			Urban Counties of California
			Western Agricultural Processors Association
			Western Electrical Contractors Association
			Western Growers Association
			Western Plant Health Association
			6beds, INC.
AB 1035 (Ramos &	Small business that complies	Bill not yet analyzed in present form.	Bill not yet analyzed in present form.
Mayes)	with applicable public health		
	laws immune from liability		
	for COVID-19 infection that		
	arises on business property or		
	due to business actions.		
AB 2043 (Rivas, et al)	DLSE outreach to agricultural	California Association of Winegrape Growers	None.
	workers to inform them of	California Climate and Agriculture Network	
	rights and best practices	California Citrus Mutual	
	related to COVID-19. DLSE	California Farmworker Advocacy Working Group	
	to publish results of	California Farmworker Foundation	
	investigations on Internet.	California Food & Farming Network	
		California Immigrant Policy Center	
		California Rural Legal Assistance Foundation	
		Californians for Pesticide Reform	
		Catholic Charities Diocese of Monterey	
		Center for Farmworker Families	
		Center on Race, Poverty & the Environment	
		Cesar Chavez Environmental Corps	
		City of Salinas	
		City of Watsonville	
		Clean Water Action	

Bill (Author)	Purpose	Support	Opposition
, , ,	•	Community Agency for Resources, Advocacy, and	
		Services	
		Community Alliance with Family Farmers	
		Community Bridges/Puentes de la Comunidad	
		Community Water Center	
		County of Monterey	
		County of Santa Clara, Office of the County	
		Executive	
		County of Santa Cruz, Board of Supervisors	
		Everyone's Harvest	
		Farmworker Institute of Education and Leadership	
		Development Development	
		Friends of the Earth	
		Grower-Shipper Association of Central California	
		La Cooperativa	
		Campesina de California Latino	
		Coalition for a Healthy California	
		Leadership Counsel for Justice and Accountability	
		League of California Cities, Latino Caucus	
		Lideres Campesinas	
		National Association of Social Workers, California	
		Chapter	
		Natural Resources Defense Council	
		NextGen California	
		Nutrition & Fitness Collaborative of the Central	
		Coast Pesticide Action Network	
		Showing Up for Racial Justice, South County Teamsters Union Local 890	
		Thriving Immigrants Collaborative of Santa Cruz	
		County	
		United Food & Commercial Workers Western States Council	
		Watsonville Campesino Appreciation Caravan	
		Women's International League for Peace and	
AD 2527 (D - 4-i)	E1 duta ta ai 1 DDE	Freedom, Santa Cruz	California Childrenia IIIital Aital
AB 2537 (Rodriguez)	Employer duty to provide PPE	California Labor Federation	California Children's Hospital Association
AD 2216 (W. 1)	to healthcare employees	California Nurses Association	D'II 4 - 4 1 - 1' 4 6
AB 3216 (Kalra)	Reemployment preferences	Bill not yet analyzed in present form.	Bill not yet analyzed in present form.
	for laid-off employees.		

Bill (Author)	Purpose	Support	Opposition
SB 729 (Portantino)	COVID-19 supplemental	California Labor Federation	Almond Alliance of California
	paid sick leave for food sector	California State Council of Service Employees	American Pistachio Growers Association of California
	workers.	International Union (SEIU California)	Egg Farmers California
	• Food sector workers must be	California Teamsters Public Affairs Council	Association of Winegrape Growers
	given opportunity to wash	Clean Water Action	California Attractions and Parks Association
	hands every 30 minutes or as	Environmental Working Group	California Chamber of Commerce
	necessary.	United Food and Commercial Workers	California Citrus Mutual
		Western States Council	California Farm Bureau Federation
			California Fresh Fruit Association
			California Grain and Feed Association
			California Grocers Association
			California League of Food Producers
			California Restaurant Association
			California Rice Commission
			California Seed Association
			California Strawberry Commission
			California Warehouse Association
			National Federation of Independent Business (NFIB)
			Western Agricultural Processors Association
			Western Growers Association
SB 1159 (Hill)	Rebuttable presumption that	None.	None.
	COVID-19 illness arose out of		
	employment and is		
	compensable, for purposes of		
	workers compensation		
	insurance.		